

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
09/039,260	03/16/1998	A.K. GUNNAR ABERG	4821-306	9369
75	590 07/18/2003			
PENNIE & EDMONDS			EXAMINER	
	OF THE AMERICAS NY 100362711			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 07/18/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.





## U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

Application Number	Filing Date	First Named Applicant	Attorney Docket Number	
09/039,260	03/16/98	Aberg	4821-306 Examiner L. E. Crane	
			Art Unit	Paper No.
			1623	22
<b>:</b>		D	DATE MAILED:	

## **NOTIFICATION OF NON-COMPLIANCE WITH 37 CFR 1.192(c)**

The Appeal Brief filed <u>11/05/01</u> is defective for failure to comply with one or more of the provisions of 37 C.F.R. §1.192. See 1092 O.G. 33, July 12, 1988 and MPEP §1206.

Appellant is given a TIME LIMIT of ONE MONTH from the date of this letter or any time remaining in the period under 37 CFR 1.192(a) for filing a new complete brief. If a new brief that fully complies with 37 CFR 1.192(c) is not timely submitted, the appeal will be dismissed as of the date of expiration of the period provided by 37 CFR 1.192(a). No extension of this one month time period may be obtained under either 37 CFR 1.192(a) or (b) but the original two month period under 37 CFR 1.192(a) for filing of the brief may be extended under 37 CFR 1.136(a) up to six months from the date of the Notice of Appeal.

- 1. [X] The brief does not contain the items required under 37 C.F.R. §1.192(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order. (See explanation in box 8 below, if appropriate.)
- 2. [] The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all of the claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the appealed claims. 37 C.F.R. §1.192(c)(1). See explanation in box 8 below, if appropriate.)
- 3. [] The brief does not contain a statement of the status of each amendment filed subsequent to the final rejection. 37 C.F.R. §1.192 (c)(2). See explanation in box 8 below, if appropriate.)
- 4. [] The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page and line number and the drawing, if any, by reference characters. 37 C.F.R. §1.192 (c)(3). See explanation in box 8 below, if appropriate.)
- 5. [] The brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review. 37 C.F.R. §1.192 (c)(4). See explanation in box 8 below, if appropriate.)

Art Unit 1623

- 6. [] The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto. 37 C.F.R. §1.192 (c)(7). See explanation in box 8 below, if appropriate.)
- 7. [] The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal. 37 C.F.R. §1.192 (c)(6). See explanation in box 8 below, if appropriate.)
- 8. [X] Explanation in support of items 1-7 above, if appropriate: Applicant's statement of the claims under appeal, and appellant's listing of claims in the first and second rejections of record are incorrect. Claim 60 depends from claims 55, 56, 57, 58 or 59. However, claim 60 does not depend from any of the other claims in the two noted listings and is therefore not properly part of these two listings. Therefore, the first two rejections should both only list claims 48, 50, 52-54, 61 and 63-65. Appellant is also requested to correct all other listings in the preparation of an amended brief wherein this change is also appropriate (e.g. grouping of claims).

LECrane:lec **07/17/03** 

// JAMES O. WILSON

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

L. E. Crane, Ph.D., Esq.

Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1600